

Name - Maitreyi Pawar

FY - BSc Div - B

Roll. No : 54

Subject : Probability & Statistics 2

Category : Assignment 1

Q1. $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$

$$\frac{X - \theta}{\sqrt{\theta}} \sim \text{approx. } N(0, 1)$$

$$X_1 = 200$$

Use continuity correction

95% CI :

$$P(X \leq 200) = \alpha/2$$

$$P(X \leq 200.5) = \alpha/2$$

$$\hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{n}}$$

$$\text{C.I.} \left(\frac{200 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{200}}{1} \right)$$

$$\text{C.I.} (200 \pm 27.719)$$

$$\text{C.I.} (172.28, 227.719)$$

[as we have been given single value & have to find approximate C.I. so $n=1$ in the approximate C.I. expression]

Q2 Sample mean be $\bar{x}$

(i) $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$

$$E[\sum x_i] = \sum E[x_i] = \sum \mu = n\mu$$

$\text{Var}[\sum x_i] = \sum \text{Var}[x_i] = n\sigma^2$; since they are identically distributed

$$E[\bar{x}] = \mu$$

$$\text{Var}[\bar{x}] = \frac{1}{n^2} \times n\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

(ii) $s^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)} [\sum x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2]$

$$\begin{aligned} E[s^2] &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} [\sum E(x_i^2) - n E(\bar{x}^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[\sum (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} [n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - \sigma^2 - n\mu^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} [(n-1)\sigma^2] \\ &= \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$(iii) \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$$

mean = μ , variance = σ^2 of Normal dist.

$$\text{Var} \left[\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} \right] = 2(n-1)$$

$$\frac{(n-1)^2}{\sigma^4} \text{Var}[s^2] = 2(n-1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[s^2] &= \frac{\sigma^4}{(n-1)^2} \times 2(n-1) \\ &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) \sigma^2 = 100$$

$$n = 10$$

$$P(50 < S^2 < 150) = P\left(\frac{9 \times 50}{100} < \frac{9s^2}{100} < \frac{150 \times 9}{100}\right)$$

$$= P(4.5 < Y < 13.5)$$

$$= P(Y < 13.5) - P(Y < 4.5)$$

$$= 0.8587 - 0.1245$$

$$= 0.7342$$

Q3

$$i) L(p) = \frac{[P(X=0)]^{86} \times [P(X=1)]^{75} \times [P(X=2)]^{16}}{[P(X=3)]^2 \times [P(X=4)]^1}$$

$$P(X=0) = {}^4C_0 p^0 (1-p)^4$$

$$P(X=1) = {}^4C_1 p^1 (1-p)^3$$

$$P(X=2) = {}^4C_2 p^2 (1-p)^2$$

$$P(X=3) = {}^4C_3 p^3 (1-p)^1$$

$$P(X=4) = {}^4C_4 p^4 (1-p)^0$$

$$L(p) = \text{const} \times [p^0 (1-p)^4]^{86} \times [p^1 (1-p)^3]^{75} \\ \times [p^2 (1-p)^2]^{16} \times [p^3 (1-p)^1]^2 \times \\ [p^4 (1-p)^0]^1$$

$$= \text{const} \times p^{75+32+6+4} (1-p)^{344+225+32+2}$$

$$= \text{const} \times p^{117} \times (1-p)^{603}$$

$$\ln L(p) = \ln C + 117 \ln p + 603 \ln(1-p)$$

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln L(p) = 0 + \frac{117}{p} + \frac{603(-1)}{(1-p)}$$

Equating to 0

$$\frac{117}{p} = \frac{603}{(1-p)}$$

$$117 - 117p = 603p$$

$$\hat{p} = 0.1625$$

(ii) goodness of fit test: $\hat{p} = 0.1625$

formula: $\sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$

$C.V = \chi^2_{n-1}$

$P(X=0) = {}^4C_0 p^0 (1-p)^4 = 0.49197$

$P(X=1) = {}^4C_1 p^1 (1-p)^3 = 0.38182$

$P(X=2) = {}^4C_2 p^2 (1-p)^2 = 0.11113$

$P(X=3) = {}^4C_3 p^3 (1-p)^1 = 0.014375$

$P(X=4) = {}^4C_4 p^4 (1-p)^0 = 0.000697$

No. of claims	O_i	E_i	$(O_i - E_i)^2$
0	86	$0.49197 \times 180 = 88.5546$	
1	75	$0.38182 \times 180 = 68.7276$	
2	16	$0.11113 \times 180 = 20.0034$	
3	2	$0.014375 \times 180 = 2.5875$	
4	1	$0.000697 \times 180 = 0.12546$	
		180	

Since	E_i	
No. of claims	E_i	$(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$
0	88.5546	0.07369
1	68.7276	0.572448
2+	22.7164	0.60800
	180	1.254138

$\chi^2_{cal} = 1.254138$

$\chi^2_{tab} = \chi^2_{2, 0.05} = 5.991$

Conclusion: $\chi^2_{cal} < \chi^2_{tab}$ $\therefore H_0$ is not rejected.

At LOS 5%, we do not reject H_0 .

At LOS 10%, we still do not reject H_0 .

Q4. $f(x) = \frac{c\beta^3}{(x+\beta)^4}$

$X \sim \text{Pareto}(3, \beta)$

i) On comparing with Pareto Distribution $f(x) = \frac{\alpha \lambda^\alpha}{(\lambda+x)^{\alpha+1}}$,

$\beta = \lambda$
& $d = 3$

$c = d = 3$

$\therefore c = 3$

Mean = $\frac{\lambda}{d-1} = \frac{\beta}{3-1} = \frac{\beta}{2}$

Variance = $\frac{\alpha \lambda^2}{(d-1)^2(d-2)} = \frac{3\beta^2}{(\beta^3-1)^2(3-2)}$
 $= \frac{3\beta^2}{4}$

ii) Using Methods of Moments

$E(X) = \bar{X}_n = \frac{\beta}{2}$

$\hat{\beta} = 2\bar{X}_n$

Biasedness = $E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta$
 $= E(\hat{\beta}) - \hat{\beta} = 2\bar{X}_n - 2\bar{X}_n = 0$
 $\therefore$ it is unbiasedness

Consistency

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) + \text{bias}^2 \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{2}{n} \bar{X}_n\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{n^2} \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \\ &= \frac{4}{n^2} \left(\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

if $\text{MSE} \rightarrow 0$ then $n \rightarrow \infty$
 $\therefore$ it is consistent

iii) set of estimators $= b\bar{X}_n$

$$b = \frac{2}{(1+3/n)} \quad \text{for min MSE.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) + (\text{Bias}[b\bar{X}_n])^2 \\ \text{MSE} &= b^2 \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n} + \frac{3}{4n} \beta^2 + (E[b\bar{X}_n] - \beta)^2 \\ &= b^2 \times \frac{3}{4n} \beta^2 + \beta^2 \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\beta^2}{n} \left(\frac{3}{4} b^2 + n \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{db} \text{MSE} = \frac{\beta^2}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2} b + n \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right) \right)$$

$$\frac{\beta^2}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2} b + n \left(\frac{b-1}{2}\right) \right) = 0$$

$$b = \frac{2n}{n+3} = \frac{2}{(1+3/n)}$$

Differentiating the MSE a second time,
$$\frac{d^2}{db^2}(\text{MSE}) = \frac{\beta^2}{n} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \right) > 0$$

$\therefore b = \frac{2}{(1+3/n)}$ is minimum.

(iv) Comment :

$b\bar{X}_n$ in (iii) when $b = \frac{2}{(1+3/n)}$ is negatively

biased because $b < 2$ when $n \geq 1$

Also as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $b \rightarrow 2$

so estimator in (iii) is also consistent

Q5.

$$i) E[X] = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

$$b = 2E[X] - a \\ = 2\bar{x} - a$$

$$Var(X) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$$

$$s^2 = \frac{(2\bar{x} - a - a)^2}{12} = \frac{(\bar{x} - a)^2}{3}$$

$$(\bar{x} - a)^2 = 3s^2$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{x} - \sqrt{3}s$$

$$ii) \hat{b} = 2\bar{x} - (\bar{x} - \sqrt{3}s) \\ \hat{b} = \bar{x} + \sqrt{3}s$$

$$iii) \text{Sample: } 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \\ \bar{x} = \frac{30}{5} = 6$$

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{4} (220 - 5 \times 6^2)$$

$$= \frac{190}{4} = 47.5$$

$$s = 6.892$$

$$\hat{a} = 6 - \sqrt{3} \times 6.892 \\ = -5.937$$

$$\hat{b} = 6 + \sqrt{3} \times 6.892 \\ = 17.937$$

The probability of sample point being less than 'a' or greater than 'b' is zero & we have a sample value x_0 greater than 'b'. This shows MOM is not efficient here.

$$(iv) L(b) = \frac{1}{b^n} \text{ if } b \geq \max(x_i) \text{ or } L(b) = 0$$

We cannot use method of differentiation w.r.t 0 & equate it with 0 as range depends on b.

We must find maximum $L(b)$.

We would want to be as small as possible subject to constraint as $L(b) = \frac{1}{b^n}$

$$\therefore \hat{b} = \max(x_i)$$

Q6

i) Type I error is when H_0 is rejected when it is actually true.

$$P(H_0 \text{ is true}) = 0.1$$

Let 1st step be X_1 & 2nd step be X_2

$$P(\text{Type I error}) = P(X_1 \geq 3 | P=0.1) +$$

$$P(X_1 = 0 | P=0.1) \times P(X_2 \geq 5 | P=0.1) +$$

$$+ P(X_1 = 1 | P=0.1) \times P(X_2 \geq 4 | P=0.1) +$$

$$P(X_1 = 2 | P=0.1) \times P(X_2 \geq 3 | P=0.1)$$

$$= (1 - 0.8891) + (0.2824)(1 - 0.9957) +$$

$$(0.6590 - 0.2824)(1 - 0.9744) +$$

$$(0.8891 - 0.6590)(1 - 0.8891)$$

[From Binomial tables, Pg 188]

$$= 0.14728$$

$$\approx 15\% \text{ or } 0.15$$

$$ii) P(\text{Rejecting } H_0 / p=0.3)$$

$$= P(X_1 \geq 3 / p=0.3) + P(X_1=0 / p=0.3) \times \\ P(X_2 \geq 5 / p=0.3) + P(X_1=1 / p=0.3) \times \\ P(X_2=4 / p=0.3) + P(X_1=2 / p=0.3) \times \\ P(X_2 \geq 3 / p=0.3)$$

$$= (1-0.2528) + (0.0138)(1-0.7237) + \\ (0.085-0.0138)(1-0.4925) + \\ (0.2528-0.085)(1-0.2528)$$

$$= 0.91253$$

Q

$$iii) P(\text{Type II error}) = P(\text{Accepting } H_0 / p=0.3)$$

$$= 1 - P(\text{Rejecting } H_0 / p=0.3)$$

$$= 1 - 0.91253$$

$$= 0.08747$$

$$iv) n = 120 \text{ missiles}$$

$$X = \text{hits} = 40$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{40}{120} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.333$$

$$Z_{0.1} = 1.2816$$

$$\text{Right tailed lower bound C.I} \in \hat{p} - 1.2816 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

$$\in (0.333 - 1.2816 \sqrt{\frac{0.333(1-0.333)}{120}}) = 0.2782$$

$$(v) \quad H_0 : p = 0.278$$

$$H_1 : p > 0.278$$

$$T.S.V = \frac{(X \pm 0.5) - np_0}{\sqrt{npq}} \quad \text{By continuity correction}$$

$$\therefore TSV = \frac{39.5 - 120(0.278)}{\sqrt{120 \times 0.278 \times 0.722}} = 1.2511$$

We are carrying out a one-sided test.

$$C.V = 1.2816$$

$$\therefore T.S.V < C.V$$

Hence we cannot reject H_0

$$\therefore p = 0.278$$

(vi) The C.I. upper limit in part (iv) is 0.2782 and contains $p = 0.2780$ we proved in part (v). There is minor change of value is due to binomial to distribution (a discrete distribution) is converted by continuity distribution (to Normal distribution (continuous distribution)).

$$(vii) \quad H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

$$S_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)} = \frac{11(2916) + 14(4900)}{25}$$

$$= 4027.04$$

$$\frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bar{X}_1 = 65 & \bar{X}_2 = 70 \\ S_1 = 54 & S_2 = 70 \\ n_1 = 12 & n_2 = 15 \end{array}$$

$$\therefore \frac{(65 - 70) - 0}{63.459 \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{15}}} = -0.2034$$

and $t_{25} = 0.2 \ 2.06$ at $\approx 95\%$ los

Therefore H_0 is not rejected.

Therefore it is reasonable to conclude that ~~there is~~ the means of the two populations are equal.

Q7. Public

i) $\sum X_{\text{Public}} = 270$

$$\bar{X}_{\text{Public}} = \frac{270}{9} = 30$$

Private

$$\sum X_{\text{Private}} = 315.5$$

$$\bar{X}_{\text{Private}} = 35.06$$

$\therefore$ sample mean of public = 30

sample mean of private = 35.06

$$S_{\text{Public}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} (\sum X_{\text{Public}}^2 - n \times \bar{X}_{\text{Public}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} (8614.5 - 9 \times 30^2)$$

$$= 64.31$$

$$S_{\text{Private}}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} (\sum X_{\text{Private}}^2 - n \times \bar{X}_{\text{Private}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} (11599.25 - 9 \times 35.06^2)$$

$$= 67.42$$

ii) Primary condition for testing equal mean is sample taken from populations with equal variances.

$$H_0 : \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$

$$H_1 : \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

Test statistic is s_p^2/σ .

$$\frac{s_{\text{public}}^2 / \sigma_{\text{public}}^2}{s_{\text{private}}^2 / \sigma_{\text{private}}^2} \sim F_{n_1-1, n_2-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Test statistics} &= \frac{s_{\text{public}}^2}{s_{\text{private}}^2} \\ &= \frac{64.31}{67.42} \\ &= 0.9542\end{aligned}$$

$$F_{8,8,0.05} = 0.2256 \text{ \& } 4.433$$

Test statistics lies in this interval
Therefore we have insufficient evidence
to reject the hypothesis
 $\therefore \sigma_{\text{public}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{private}}^2$

iii) $H_0 : \mu_{\text{public}} = \mu_{\text{private}}$
 $H_1 : \mu_{\text{public}} < \mu_{\text{private}}$

$$\text{Test statistic} = \frac{(\bar{X}_{\text{private}} - \bar{X}_{\text{public}}) - (\mu_{\text{private}} - \mu_{\text{public}})}{\sqrt{s_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}s_p^2 &= \frac{(n_1-1)s_{\text{public}}^2 + (n_2-1)s_{\text{private}}^2}{(n_1+n_2-2)} \\ &= \frac{(8 \times 64.31 + 8 \times 67.42)}{16} = 65.86\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Test statistic} : \frac{35.06 - 30}{\sqrt{65.86 \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} \right)}} = 1.32$$

$$t_{n_1+n_2-2, 0.05} = t_{16, 0.05} = 1.746$$

Test statistic is lower than t value
 $\therefore$ This gives insufficient evidence to reject H_0 .

$$\therefore \mu_{\text{public}} = \mu_{\text{private}}$$

Q8.

(i) $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of parameter θ

Unbiased estimator is when :

$$\text{bias} = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

$$0 = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$

(ii) ~~Mean~~ Bias is mathematically defined as:

$$\text{bias} = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

(iii) Mean square error is mathematically defined as :

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = [E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta]^2$$

$$= \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + \text{bias}^2$$

(iv) $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow$ no bias
high MSE

$\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow$ positive bias
low MSE

Rather than biasness, MSE is a better parameter factor to check efficiency of θ estimators.

lower MSE, higher efficiency of the estimator.

$\therefore \tilde{\theta}$ is better estimator (efficient)

(v) Either of the two estimators would be termed efficient if consistent if $MSE \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(vi) Estimating θ :

a. Method of Moments: The sample and population mean are equated to estimate θ .

b. Maximum likelihood method: We find maximum likelihood function: $L(\lambda)$, differentiate it to get an expression, equate it to 0 and estimate θ .

Q9

- i) The variable t_k used in the t -test for sampling distribution is:

$$t_k = \frac{N(0,1)}{\sqrt{\frac{\chi_k^2}{k}}} \quad k \text{ denotes degree of freedom}$$

$N(0,1)$ denotes standard normal distribution
 χ_k^2 denotes chi-square distribution with k df.
 Both $N(0,1)$ & χ_k^2 are independent.

- ii) Mean of $t_k = 0$ for $k > 2$
 Variance of $t_k = \frac{k}{k-2}$ for $k > 2$

- iii) $n = 10$
 $\bar{x} = 50 \quad s^2 = 48.667$

(a) 99.1% CI $\Rightarrow \left(\bar{x} \pm t_{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}} \right)$
 99.1% CI $\in \left(50 \pm 3.25 \sqrt{\frac{48.667}{10}} \right)$
 99.1% CI $\in (42.83, 57.17)$

(b) $t_{n-1} \sim N\left(0, \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n-3}} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{7}}\right) \quad Z = 2.58$

99.1% CI $\in \left(50 \pm 2.58 \sqrt{\frac{48.667 \times 9}{10 \times 7}} \right) = (43.54, 56.45)$

Q10

- i) Type I error is when H_0 is rejected when it is actually true.

Let N be no. of claims in a year.

$$N \sim \text{Poisson}(1000 \times 3 = 3000)$$

$$H_0: N \sim \text{Poisson}(3000)$$

Using Normal Approximation,

$$X \sim N(3000, 3000)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_0 \text{ rejected} | H_0 \text{ is true}) &= \text{Type I error} \\ &= P(N > 3100) = P\left(Z > \frac{3100 - 3000}{\sqrt{3000}}\right) = 1 - P(Z < 1.825) \\ &= 0.0339 \end{aligned}$$

- ii) Type II error is when H_0 is accepted when it is actually false.

- iii) Power of test is the probability that the hypothesis is rejected when the hypothesis is actually false.

$$\begin{aligned} P(H_0 \text{ is rejected} | H_0 \text{ is false}) &= P(N > 3100) \\ &= 1 - P\left(Z < \frac{3100 - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\mu}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The value of power of Test will depend on value of parameter under alternative hypothesis.

iv) $\hat{\mu} \Rightarrow$ estimator of μ

C.I for poisson parameter :

$$\hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{n}}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{2900}{1000} = 2.9$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 99\% \text{ CI} &= \left(2.9 \pm 2.5758 \sqrt{\frac{2.9}{1000}} \right) \\ &= (2.7613, 3.0387) \end{aligned}$$

Q11 $\Sigma x = 213200$
 $\Sigma x^2 = 4919860000$
 Sample Mean = 17767
 Sample Standard Deviance = 10144

Salary of 2 temporary employees:
 11,000 and 48,000

Salary of 2 randomly selected permanent:
 27,500 and 31,500

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Revised Sample Mean} &= \frac{(213200 - 11,000 - 48,000 + 27,500 + 31,500)}{12} \\ &= 17767 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Revised } \Sigma x^2 &= 4919860000 - 121000000 - 2304000000 + 756250000 + 992250000 \\ &= 4243360000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Revised sample } s^2 = \frac{1}{11} \left(\text{Revised } \Sigma x^2 - \frac{(\text{Revised } \Sigma x)^2}{n} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{11} \times \left(4243360000 - \frac{213200^2}{12} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{11} \times 455506666.7$$

$$= (41409696.97)^{1/2}$$

$$= 6435$$

Comment :

Sample mean remains same.

This is because revised $\bar{X}$ is same as initial $\bar{X}$.

The sample standard deviation is reduced because the revised observation is near sample mean.

Q12. $\frac{1}{2}$

(i) The Cramer-Rao Lower Bound result for variance of unbiased estimators ~~is~~ of θ does not apply in ^{this} case because the interval of Uniform Distribution contains parameters.

(ii) $Y = \max X$

For $0 < y < \theta$

$$P[Y < y] = P[\max X < y]$$

If we assume X_i values are independent.

$$= \prod P[X_i < y]$$

$$= \frac{y}{\theta} \times \frac{y}{\theta} \times \dots$$

$$= \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^n$$

$$p.d.f : \frac{d}{dy} \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^n = \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1}$$

$$E[Y^k] = \int_0^{\theta} y^k \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1} dy$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+k} \int_0^{\theta} \frac{n+k}{\theta^n} y^{n+k-1} dy$$

$$= \frac{n}{n+k} \frac{\theta^{n+k} - 0}{\theta^n}$$

$$= \frac{n\theta^k}{n+k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii) Bias}[\hat{\theta}] &= E[\hat{\theta} - \theta] \\ &= E[cY - \theta] \end{aligned}$$

$$= c \cdot E(Y) - \theta$$

$$= c \frac{n\theta}{n+1} - \theta$$

$$= \left(\frac{cn}{n+1} - 1 \right) \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + \text{bias}[\hat{\theta}]^2 \\ &= E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] \end{aligned}$$

$$= E[(cY - \theta)^2]$$

$$= E[c^2 Y^2 - 2c\theta Y + \theta^2]$$

$$= c^2 E(Y^2) - 2c\theta E(Y) + \theta^2$$

$$= c^2 \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - c \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+1} + \theta^2$$

(iv) Bias $[\hat{\theta}(c)] = 0$

$$\left(\frac{cn}{n+1} - 1\right) \cdot \theta = 0$$

(v) Minimize : MSE $[\hat{\theta}(c)]$

$$\frac{d}{dc} \text{MSE} [\hat{\theta}(c)] = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dc} \left[c^2 \frac{n(\theta)^2}{n+2} - c \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+1} + \theta^2 \right] = 0$$

$$2c \cdot \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+1} = 0$$

$$c = \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+1} \times \frac{(n+2)}{n\theta^2}$$
$$= \frac{n+2}{n+1}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dc^2} \text{MSE} [\hat{\theta}(c)] = \frac{d}{dc} \left[\frac{2c \cdot n\theta^2}{n+2} - \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+1} \right]$$
$$= \frac{2n\theta^2}{n+2} > 0$$

$\therefore$ It is minimum

$$c = \frac{n+2}{n+1}$$

(vi) lower MSE means better estimator.
Therefore $\hat{\theta}(C_m)$ is better than $\hat{\theta}(C_u)$

$$\hat{\theta}(C_u) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)Y$$

$$\hat{\theta}(C_m) = \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)Y$$

if $n \rightarrow \infty$ (n is large) then $\hat{\theta}(C_u)$ &
 $\hat{\theta}(C_m)$ ~~is~~ tends to Y