

PROBABILITY & STATISTICS-2

Assignment-2

1.

(a) Let regression line y on x be:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{a} + \hat{b}x$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2}$$

$$\sum xy = 182560$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = 85.0$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} = 173.7$$

$$\sum x^2 = 92500$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{182560 - (10 \times 85 \times 173.7)}{92500 - (10 \times 85^2)}$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{34915}{20250} = 1.72421$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{y} - \hat{b}\bar{x}$$

$$= 27.143$$

$$\therefore \hat{y} = 27.143 + 1.7242x$$

(b) Since the slope ($\hat{b}$) is positive, y and x have a positive relation.

2.

(i) Least square fit regression line is given by:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{x} + \hat{\beta}x$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{38191.41 - (12 \times 32.1 \times 96.875)}{12666.58 - (12 \times 32.1^2)}$$

$$= \frac{875.16}{301.66}$$

$$= 2.9011$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x} &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \\ &= 96.875 - (2.9011 \times 32.1) \\ &= 3.7497\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{y} = 3.7497 + 2.9011x$$

ii Test statistic: $\frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}}}} \sim t_{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}}}{n-2} = \frac{6409.7125 - \frac{(875.16)^2}{301.66}}{10} \\ &= 387.0745\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}95\% \text{ CI} &= \hat{\beta} \pm t_{0.025, 10} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}}} \\ &= 2.9011 \pm \left(2.228 \times \sqrt{\frac{387.0745}{301.66}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2.9011 \pm 2.5238$$

$$\text{Ans} = (0.3773, 5.4249)$$

iii

Test statistic:

$$\mu_0 \sim N \left[\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0, \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right] \right]$$

Test statistic:

$$\frac{\mu_0 - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0)}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}} \sim t_{n-2}$$

$$95\% \text{ CI for } \mu_0 = (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0) \pm t_{0.025, 10} \sqrt{se(\mu_0)^2}$$

$$se(\mu_0) = \sqrt{387.0745 \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{(40 - 32.1)^2}{301.66} \right)}$$

$$se(\mu_0) = 10.5989$$

$$\rightarrow 95\% \text{ CI} = 3.7497 + (2.9011 \times 40) \pm (2.228 \times 10.5989)$$

$$\text{Ans} = (96.179, 143.408)$$

3.

(i) The plot suggests that:

→ The time difference in communication is in peak hours and non-peak hours is ~~not~~ maximum in City A and least in city D.

→ The variation in city C is least as compared to D which has the maximum variance.

(ii) Assumptions to carry out ANOVA:

- The data should conform to a normal distribution.
- The sample items must be independent of each other.
- The populations should have a common variance.

(iii) H_0 : Mean of difference is same for each city
 H_1 : Mean of difference is not same

$$SS_{Tot} = \sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2$$

$$= 1495 - \left[28 \times \left(\frac{103^2}{28^2} \right) \right]$$

$$= 1116.11$$

$$SS_{Reg} = \frac{1}{7} \left[64^2 + 44^2 + 8^2 + (-13)^2 \right] - \left(\frac{28 \times 103^2}{28^2} \right) = 516.11$$

$$SS_{Res} = SS_{Tot} - SS_{Reg}$$

$$= 1116.11 - 516.11$$

$$= 600$$

ANOVA TABLE

Source of Variation	Dof	Sum of Sq	MSS
Regression	3	516.11	172.04
Residual	24	600	25
Total	27	1116.11	

TS :

$$\frac{MSS_{Reg}}{MSS_{Res}} \sim F_{3,24}$$

$$F_{cal} = \frac{MSS_{Reg}}{MSS_{Res}} = \frac{172.04}{25} = 6.88$$

$$F_{tab} = F_{3,25} = 3.009$$

Since $F_{cal} > F_{tab}$, we reject H_0 at the 5% level.
Thus we can conclude that the mean of difference in times is not same.

4.

(a) Mathematical model of one-way ANOV is given by :

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad \text{where}$$

$y_{ij} \rightarrow j^{\text{th}}$ observation on the i^{th} treatment

$\mu \rightarrow$ common effect for the whole experiment

$\tau_i \rightarrow i^{\text{th}}$ treatment effect

$\epsilon_{ij} \rightarrow$ random error in the j^{th} observation on the i^{th} treatment

Assumptions:

$\rightarrow$ Errors are assumed to be normally and independently distributed with mean 0 and constant variance σ^2

$\rightarrow \mu$: fixed parameter

Mean

- (b) H_0 : Claims are equal
 H_1 : ^{mean} Claims are not equal

$$m_1 = 7 \quad m_2 = 8 \quad m_3 = 6 \quad m_4 = 7 \quad m_5 = 5$$

$$\Rightarrow m_{\text{tot}} = 33$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} y_{ij} = 354 + 386 + 87 + 645 + 384 = 1856$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} y_{ij}^2 = 27184 + 29430 + 2123 + 84669 + 30910 = 174316$$

$$SS_{\text{Tot}} = 174316 - \left[33 \times \left(\frac{1856}{33} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= 69930.06$$

$$SS_{\text{reg}} = \left[\frac{354^2}{7} + \frac{386^2}{8} + \frac{87^2}{6} + \frac{645^2}{7} + \frac{384^2}{5} \right] - \left[33 \times \left(\frac{1856}{33} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= 22325.69$$

$$SS_{\text{res}} = SS_{\text{Tot}} - SS_{\text{reg}}$$

$$= 47604.37$$

Source of Variation	Dof	Sum of sq.	MSS
Regression	4	22325.69	5581.4225
Residual	28	47604.37	1700.156
Total	32	69930.06	

$$F_{\text{TS}} = \frac{MSS_{\text{reg}}}{MSS_{\text{res}}} \sim f_{4,28}$$

$$F_{cal} = \frac{5581.4225}{1700.156} = 3.2829$$

$$F_{tab} = F_{4,28} = 2.714$$

Since $F_{cal} > F_{tab}$, we reject H_0
 → Mean claims are not equal for the 5 companies.

(C) H_0 : No association between salaries & no. of papers cleared

H_1 : There is an ~~or~~ interdependence between salary and papers cleared.

O_i

Salary \ Papers	3-5	5-8	8-10	10-12	Total
0-3	45	20	6	5	76
4-6	7	20	9	6	42
7-9	5	8	15	12	40
Total	57	48	30	23	158

E_i

	3-5	5-8	8-10	10-12
0-3	27.4172	23.0851	14.43038	11.06329
4-6	15.15189	12.75949	7.97468	6.11392
7-9	14.43038	12.15189	7.59494	5.82278

$$TS: \frac{\sum (O_i - E_i)^2}{\sum E_i} \sim \chi^2_{(3-1)(2-1)}$$

$$\chi^2_{cal} = 49.91146$$

$$\chi^2_{tab} = \chi^2_{6,5\%} = 12.59$$

→ Since $\chi^2_{cal} > \chi^2_{tab}$, we reject H_0 .

→ Salaries and no. of papers cleared are associated.

5.

(i) Assumption:

~~Data Errors~~ are normally distributed with mean 0, and a constant variance σ^2 .

~~But this is not the case as the~~

5. (i) Assumptions:

Data should be normally distributed
Sample items must be independent
Population should have a common variance.

But this is not the case as the data items do not have a common variance.

(ii) Missing values are:

$$\text{Mean} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{29} + \sqrt{13} + \sqrt{21}}{3} = \underline{4.5244}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \text{Var}_2 &= \frac{\sum (\log_e n)^2}{n} - \frac{n \text{mean}^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sum (n_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{70.135 - (3 \times 4.827^2)}{3-1} \\ &= \frac{10.2352}{2} = 0.1213 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) loge transformation is the best suited as the common variance assumption holds true in this case.

(iv)

$$(a) \quad y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij} \quad ; \quad \begin{matrix} i = 1, 2, 3 \dots \\ j = 1, 2, 3 \dots \end{matrix}$$

$$H_0: \tau_i = 0$$

$$H_1: \tau_i \neq 0$$

$$(b) \quad y_i^2 = \sum y_{ij}^2 = (3 \times \text{Mean}_i)^2 = 973.373$$

$$SS_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{y_i^2}{3} - \frac{y^2}{12} = 21.153$$

$$\begin{aligned} SS_{\text{res}} &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \{ 2 \times \text{variance} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 \times 0.618$$

$$= 1.236$$

Source of Variation	Dof	Sum of Sq	MS
Regression	3	21.153	7.051
Residual	8	1.236	0.155
Total	11	22.389	

$$T_3: \frac{MSS_{\text{reg}}}{MSS_{\text{res}}} \sim F_{3,8}$$

$$F_{\text{cal}} = 45.638$$

$$F_{\text{tab}} = F_{3,8, 5\%} = 4.066$$

→ Since $F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{tab}}$, we reject H_0

6.

$$(i) \text{ Correlation coeff } (r) = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}} = \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sqrt{(\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2)(\sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2)}}$$

$$= \frac{661.2}{\sqrt{54.9 \times 8923.6}}$$

$$= 0.9447$$

(ii) Fitted linear regression eqn is given by:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{661.2}{54.9} = 12.0437$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = 9.22957$$

$$\text{Ans } \hat{y} = 9.22957 + 12.0437x$$

$$(iii) \quad SS_{tot} = S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \\ = 40508 - (10 \times 56.2^2) \\ = 8923.6$$

$$SS_{reg} = \frac{S^2_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{661.2^2}{54.9} = 7963.3$$

$$SS_{res} = SS_{tot} - SS_{reg} = 960.3$$

$$(iv) \quad R^2 = \frac{SS_{reg}}{SS_{tot}} = \frac{7963.3}{8923.6} = 0.8924$$

→ Using part (i), we have
 $r^2 = R^2$

→ The correlation coeff is the square root of the coeff. of determination

7.

(i) Linear regression eqn:
 $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \\ = \frac{2176.84}{7545.9 - (11 \times 250.5889)}$$

$$= 0.4545$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \\ = 10.7907$$

Ans $\hat{y} = 10.7907 + 0.4545x$

$$\text{ii. } H_0: \beta = 0$$

$$H_1: \beta \neq 0$$

$$TS: \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{se(\hat{\beta})} \sim t_{n-2}$$

$$se(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}}} = \sqrt{\frac{SS_{res}}{(n-2)S_{xx}}} = \sqrt{\frac{S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}}}{(n-2)S_{xx}}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{1189.208 - \frac{2176.84^2}{4789.4221}}{9 \times 4789.4221}}$$

$$= 0.0681$$

$$t_{cal} = \frac{0.4545 - 0}{0.0681} = 6.674$$

$$t_{tab} = t_{9, 2.5\%} = 2.262$$

$\Rightarrow$ Since $t_{cal} > t_{tab}$, we reject H_0 . There is a linear relationship between x & y .

$$\text{(iii) Corr. coeff (r)} = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}S_{yy}}} = \frac{2176.84}{\sqrt{4789.4221 \times 1189.208}} = 0.9121$$

iv Individual response:

$$Y_0 \sim N \left[\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0, \sigma^2 \left[1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right] \right]$$

$$TS: \hat{y}_0 - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0) \sim t_{n-2}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}}$$

$$95\% CI = \hat{y}_0 \pm t_{n-2, 0.025} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right)}$$

$$= (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0) \pm t_{9, 0.025} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right)}$$

$$= 22.1532 \pm 2.262 \sqrt{22.2014 \left(\frac{1}{11} + 0.01756 \right)}$$

$$\text{Ans} = (10.9319, 33.3745)$$

Mean response:

$$TS: \hat{y}_0 - (\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0) \sim t_{n-2}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}}$$

$$95\% CI = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0 \pm t_{9, 0.025} \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right)}$$

$$= 22.1532 \pm 2.262 \sqrt{22.2014 \left(\frac{1}{11} + 0.01756 \right)}$$

$$\text{Ans} = (18.6439, 25.663)$$

- (v) The residual plot appears to follow a pattern (first increasing and then decreasing), which implies that the model isn't a good fit for the data.

8.
(i) Factor analysis provides a method for reducing the dimensionality of the data set i.e. it seeks to identify the key components necessary to model and understand data.

→ when the original data is rewritten in terms of new variables that are uncorrelated; only some are needed to explain most of the variability observed in the data.

These new variables are called Principle Components.

- (ii) Variance-Cov matrix of PC1, PC2, PC3, PC4, PC5 given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.456 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.137 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.080 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0165 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.012 \end{bmatrix}$$

% of total variance explained by each PC:

$$PC1 : \frac{0.456}{0.7015} \times 100 = 65\%$$

$$PC2 : \frac{0.137}{0.7015} \times 100 = 19.53\%$$

$$PC3 : \frac{0.080}{0.7015} \times 100 = 11.40\%$$

$$PC4 : \frac{0.0165}{0.7015} \times 100 = 2.35\%$$

$$PC5 : \frac{0.012}{0.7015} \times 100 = 1.71\%$$

- (iii) we can conclude that the dimensionality could be reduced 3, using the first 3 PC's, as 96% of the variability has been explained by these 3 alone.

9.

- (i) Based on the plot, there is a negative linear correlation between marks and hours spent 1 day on social media.

(ii) $\text{corr coeff } (r) = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}}$

$$= \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sqrt{(\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2)(\sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2)}}$$

$$= \frac{-296}{\sqrt{75 \times 2482.4}}$$

$$= -0.6860$$

(iii) $H_0: \rho = 0$

$H_1: \rho < 0$

Using Fisher's Transformation;

$$w = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right) \sim N \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}, \frac{1}{n-3} \right)$$

$$Z_{\text{cal}} = \frac{-0.84036 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{7}}} = -2.2234$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{7}}$$

$$Z_{\text{tab}} = -1.98$$

→ Since $Z_{cal} < Z_{tab}$; we reject H_0 . Thus there is negative correlation between the variables.

(iv) Let the linear regression model :

$$\hat{y} = \hat{a} + \hat{b}x$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{-296}{75} = -3.9467$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a} &= \bar{y} - \hat{b}\bar{x} \\ &= 64.4 - (-3.9467)(4.5) \\ &= 82.16\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{y} = 82.16 - 3.9467x$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{v. Coeff. of determination } (R^2) &= \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx} S_{yy}} = \frac{(-296)^2}{75 \times 2482.4} \\ &= 0.4706\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow r^2 = R^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{vi. Expected change in marks} &= b \Delta x \\ &= -3.9467\end{aligned}$$

10. H_0 : Inclusion has no impact on resignation rate
 H_1 : There is an impact

$$\text{Mean} = \frac{27+36+30}{3} = 31$$

$$\begin{aligned}SS_{\text{reg}} &= 20[(27-31)^2 + (36-31)^2 + (30-31)^2] = 840 \\ SS_{\text{res}} &= 19(5^2 + 10^2 + 8^2) = 3591\end{aligned}$$

ANOVA TABLE			
Source of Variation	Dof	Sum of Sq	MISS
Residual	57	3591	63
Regression	2	840	420

$$F_{\text{is}} = \frac{\text{MISS}_{\text{Reg}}}{\text{MISS}_{\text{Res}}} \sim F_{2,57}$$

$$F_{\text{cal}} = \frac{420}{63} = 6.67$$

$$F_{\text{tab}} \approx F_{2,60,0.05} = 3.150$$

→ Since $F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{tab}}$, we reject H_0 . There is an impact of industry on the resignation rate.