

Probability & Stats.

Vishwa

Solⁿ 1

① $\sum x^2 = 92500$; $\bar{x} = 85$
 $\sum y^2 = 372717$; $\bar{y} = 173.7$
 $\sum xy = 182560$

$$S_{xx} = \sum x_i^2 - n(\bar{x})^2 = 20550$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - n(\bar{y})^2 = 71000.1$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum xy - n(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = 34915$$

a) $\beta = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = 1.7242$

$$\alpha = \bar{y} - \beta\bar{x} \Rightarrow 27.143$$

$$\hat{y} = \underline{27.143 + 1.7242x}$$

b) gradient is no. of hours he spent in ATM upon amount withdrawn.

Q2. $\sum x = 385.2$, $\sum x^2 = 12666.58$
 (i) $\sum y = 1162.5$, $\sum y^2 = 119026.9$
 $\sum xy = 38191.41$

$$S_{xx} = 301.66 \quad S_{yy} = 6409.7125$$

$$S_{xy} = 875.16$$

$$\hat{\beta} = 2.901147$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = 3.74818$$

$$y = 3.74818 + 2.901147x$$

(ii) $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{10} \left[S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} \right]$
 $= 387.0745$

$$-2.228 \leq \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}} \leq 2.228$$

$$\hat{\beta} - 2.228 \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}} \leq \beta \leq \hat{\beta} + 2.228 \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}}$$

$$(0.377, 5.425)$$

(iii) $\hat{\mu}_0 = 119.794$
 $Se(\hat{\mu}_0) = 10.5989$

95% confidence interval of μ_0
 $119.794 \pm 2.228(10.598)$
 119.794 ± 23.6144
~~(96.17956, 143.4084)~~

(2)

(i) comments on the plot :-

- The centre of distribution differs for all four cities, thus there's a prime for case for suggesting that underlying means are different

- The difference between mean time taken to communicate to office in peak hours & non peak hours are in order city A, city D [highest, lowest].

assumptions :-

- observations are independent
- the population must have common variance.
- population must be normal.

(iii) H_0 : The mean diff is same for each city
 H_a : The mean diff are not same.

$$SS_T = 1495 - \frac{103^2}{28} = 1116.11$$

$$SS_B = \frac{1}{7} [64^2 + 44^2 + 8^2 + (-13)^2] - \frac{103^2}{28} = 516.11$$

$$SS_R = SS_T - SS_B = 600.00.$$

A NOVA

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Treatments	3	516.11	172.04	6.88
Residual	24	600.00	25.00	
Total	27	1116.11		

$$\text{Under } H_0 : f = \frac{172.04}{25} = 6.88$$

The 5% critical limit is 2.009, so we have sufficient evidence to reject H_0 .

(iv) Since : $\bar{y}_1 = 9.14$ $\bar{y}_2 = 6.29$ $\bar{y}_3 = 1.14$
 $\bar{y}_4 = -1.86$

$$\bar{y}_1 > \bar{y}_2 > \bar{y}_3 > \bar{y}_4 ; \hat{\sigma}^2 = SS_R = 25.$$

$$24, 0.025 * \hat{\sigma} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7}\right)} = 2.064 * \sqrt{25}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7}} = 5.52.$$

$$\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 = 2.85, \quad \bar{y}_2 - \bar{y}_3 = 5.15, \\ \bar{y}_3 - \bar{y}_4 = 3$$

observing that all 3 difference are less than 5.52, we can say they have no significant difference.

$$\text{examining } \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_3 = 8$$

Hence there is a significant diff b/w means. k=3.

④ ① Already in sol (B), (ii)

$$(ii) H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \dots \mu_5$$

H_a : atleast one of the mean isn't same.

$$\frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(\sum y_1 + \sum y_2 + \sum y_3 + \sum y_4 + \sum y_5)^2}{N} = 104385.9394$$

$$SS_{Req} = \frac{(354)^2}{7} + \frac{(386)^2}{8} + \frac{(87)^2}{6} + \frac{(645)^2}{7} + \frac{(384)^2}{5} - \frac{T^2}{N} \\ = 22325.68917$$

$$SS_{Tot} = 69930.0606$$

$$SS_{Res} = SS_{Tot} - SS_{Req}$$

$$= 47604.37143$$

ANOVA.

Sources of variation	df	SS	MS	F
Reg	4	22325.69	5581.4225	3.28
Res	48	47604.334	1700.154	
Total	33	69930.026		

So, $F_{4, 28}$ tabulated is : 2.21
and our calculated value is 3.28

So, we have sufficient evidences to reject H_0 .

- (C) H_0 : They are independent of each other.
 H_a : They are dependent.

Papers cleared	3-5	5-8	8-10	10-12
0-3	27.42	23.089	14.43	11.06
4-6	15.15	12.76	7.97	6.14
7-9	14.63	12.15	7.59	5.82

$$\chi^2_{cal} = \sum \frac{(E_i - O_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow 11.27 + 0.4133 + 4.925 + 3.3204 + 4.384 + 4.1079 + 0.133 + 0.00319 + 6.162 + 1.4175 + 7.234 + 6.56$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{39.929}$$

$$\chi^2 = 12.592$$

Since $\chi^2_{\text{cal}} > \chi^2_{\text{Tab}}$, hence we have sufficient evidence to reject H_0 .

DATE		
PAGE NO.		