

Roll No. - 3rd



DATE _____
PAGE _____

Assignment Unit 3 & 4

1. $\Sigma x = 850$ $\Sigma x^2 = 92,500$
 $\Sigma y = 1737$ $n = 10$ $\Sigma xy = 182,560$

a) Eqn of regression line is of the form:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{a} + \hat{\beta}x$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{xy} &= \Sigma xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y} \\ &= 182,560 - (10)(85)(173.7) \\ &= 34,915 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{xx} &= \Sigma x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \\ &= 92,500 - 72,500 \\ &= 20,250 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{34,915}{20,250} = 1.724197531 \approx 1.724$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} &= 173.7 - (1.724)(85) \\ \hat{a} &= 27.1432 \end{aligned}$$

Eqn of regression line: $\hat{y} = 27.14 + (1.725)x$

(b) Gradient of regression line is $\hat{\beta}$
which is 1.724.

(X $\rightarrow$ DCU Y $\rightarrow$ IBM)

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad \Sigma x &= 385.2 & \Sigma x^2 &= 12666.58 \\ \Sigma y &= 1162.5 & \Sigma y^2 &= 119026.9 \\ \Sigma xy &= 38191.41 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad S_{xy} &= \Sigma xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y} \\ &= 875.16 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{yy} &= \Sigma y^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \\ &= 6409.7125 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{xx} &= \Sigma x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \\ &= 301.66 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{875.16}{301.66} = 2.9015$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \\ &= 3.71809 \end{aligned}$$

eqn of least squares fit regression line:-
 $\hat{y} = 3.75 + 2.90x$

ii) Assumptions :

- explanatory variables (x_i) are independent of each other
- x and y follows a normal distn.

95% CI interval for β :

$$\hat{\beta} \pm t_{10, 2.5\%} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{S_{xx}}}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{10} \left(6409.7125 - \frac{(875.16)^2}{301.66} \right)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 38.707447$$

∴ 95% CI :

$$\hat{\beta} \pm t_{10, 2.5\%} \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}}$$

$$= 2.90115 \pm 2.52339$$

$$= (0.37736, 5.428)$$



(iii) Let mean of IBM share price be μ_0

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_0 - \mu_0}{se(\hat{\mu}_0)} \sim t_{n-2}$$

$$n_0 = 40$$

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0$$

$$\mu_0 = 119.79409$$

$$se(\hat{\mu}_0) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x} - x_0)^2}{S_{xx}} \right) \hat{\sigma}^2}$$

$$= 10.59894$$

$$95\% \text{ CI for } \mu_0 = \hat{\mu}_0 \pm t_{10, 2.5\%} \cdot se(\hat{\mu}_0)$$

$$= 119.79409 \pm 23.61443$$

$$95\% \text{ CI : } (96.18, 143.41)$$

3. (i) Comments :-

- Means for all 4 cities are different.
- Mean time taken to commute to the office is highest for city A and lowest for city D.

(ii) Assumptions for ANOVA:

- Population follows normal distn
- Population is homoscedastic in nature.

→ The observations are independent

(iii) H_0 : Mean of difference is same for all cities

H_1 : mean of difference is not the same.

$$SS_{TOT} = S_{yy} = S_y^2 - n\bar{y}^2 = 1116.11$$

$$SS_{REG} = 516.11$$

$$SS_{RES} = SS_{TOT} - SS_{REG} = 600$$

ANOVA table:

	df	Sum of squares	MSS
REG	3	516.11	172.04
RES	24	600	25
TOT	27	1116.11	

$$\text{Under } H_0, F = \frac{MSS_{REG}}{MSS_{RES}} = \frac{172.04}{25} = 6.88$$

$$\text{Test statistic} = 6.88$$

$$F_{3, 24, 0.05} = 3.009$$

We reject H_0 .

(iv) Analysis of mean differences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } \bar{y}_1 &= 9.14 \\ \bar{y}_2 &= 6.29 \\ \bar{y}_3 &= 1.14 \\ \bar{y}_4 &= 1.86 \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{y}_1 > \bar{y}_2 > \bar{y}_3 > \bar{y}_4$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SS_{RES}}{n-2} = 25$$

Least significant difference between means:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{24, 0.025} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7}} &= 2.064 \times \sqrt{25 \times \frac{2}{7}} \\ &= 5.52 \end{aligned}$$

Also:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 &= 2.85 \\ \bar{y}_2 - \bar{y}_3 &= 5.15 \\ \bar{y}_3 - \bar{y}_4 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

All the differences are less than 5.52, $\therefore$ they ~~have~~ do not have a significant difference.

ANOVA Table:

	df	RSS	MSS
REG	3	516.11	172.04
RES	24	600	25
TOT	27	1116.11	

as calculated above

$$F = 6.87$$

$$F_{3, 24, 5\%} = 3.009$$

$\therefore$ We reject H_0 .

4(a) The mathematical model of one-way ANOVA:-

$$y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij} \quad \begin{matrix} i = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i \end{matrix}$$

where,

k = no. of treatments

n_i = no. of responses from i^{th} treatment

y_{ij} = j^{th} response from i^{th} treatment

T_i = i^{th} treatment

μ $\rightarrow$ mean response

e_{ij} $\rightarrow$ error term

Assumptions : e_{ij} is i.i.d and follows normal : $N(0, \sigma^2)$

(b) H_0 : mean claims amount are equal
 H_1 : mean claim amount are not equal

According to the information given in ques :-

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} = 1856$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}^2 = 174316$$

$$n_1 = 7$$

$$n_2 = 6$$

$$n_3 = 6$$

$$n_4 = 7$$

$$n_5 = 5$$

$$n = 33$$

$$CF = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} \right)^2}{n} = \frac{(1856)^2}{33}$$

$$= 104385.29394$$

$$SS_{TOT} = 174316 - CF$$

$$= 69930.20606$$

$$SS_{REG} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}}{n_i} \right)^2 - CF$$

$$= 22325.69$$

$$SS_{RES} = SS_{TOT} - SS_{REG}$$

$$= 47604.3706$$

ANOVA table:

	dof	SS	MSS
REG	4	22325.69	5581.42
RES	28	47604.37	1700.16
TOT	32	69930.21	

$$T.S. = \frac{MSS_{REG}}{MSS_{RES}} = \underline{\underline{3.283}}$$

$$F_{4, 20, 0.05} = 2.714$$

$\therefore$ We reject H_0 .

(c) Chi-square test:-

Observed frequency (O_i)

Papers Cleared	Salary per annum				Total
	3-5	5-8	8-10	10-12	
0-3	45	28	2	5	76
4-6	7	20	9	6	42
7-9	5	18	15	12	40
Total	57	46	30	23	156

Expected frequency (E_i)

Papers Cleared	Salary per annum			
	3-5	5-8	8-10	10-12
0-3	27.41772	23.06361	14.4303	11.06329
4-6	15.15189	12.75949	7.97468	6.11392
7-9	14.43038	12.15189	7.8497	5.82203

Hypothesis test:

H_0 :

Salaries are independent of no. of papers

H_1 :

Salaries are dependent.

cleared

of papers

$$T.S. = \frac{\sum (O_i - G_i)^2}{G_i} \sim \chi^2_{(r)(c-1)} \quad (iii)$$

$$= 11.275 + 0.413 + \dots + 6.55329$$

$$T.S. = 49.9146$$

$$\text{degree of freedom} = 2 \times 3 = 6$$

$$\chi^2_{6, 5\%} = 12.59$$

Hence we have sufficient evidence to reject H_0 .
The salaries are independent on no. of actuarial papers cleared.

5. (i) Assumptions under ANOVA :

- Population follows normal distn.
- Common variance - Homoscedastic in nature
- Observations are independent

The sample variance given are different from each other, hence homoscedastic nature assumption is violated.

$$(ii) \text{ Mean } \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{29} + \sqrt{13} + \sqrt{21}}{3}$$

$$= 4.52443$$

$$\text{var} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1} = 0.12122$$

[reg. (ii)]

(iii) (a) $X_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$ $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$
 $j = 1, 2, 3$

- X_{ij} is the loge transformed value of the j^{th} observations of the no. of generation per square foot observed where the i^{th} rate was applied.
- μ is the overall population mean
- T_i is the deviation of the i^{th} mean such that $\sum T_i = 0$
- e_{ij} are the independent error terms
 $e_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

b) for ANOVA

$H_0 : T_i = 0$
 $H_1 : T_i \neq 0$

ln(x)

Rate	mean	variance	X_i^2
1	2.992	0.163	80.582
2	4.827	0.121	209.678
3	5.716	0.186	294.053
4	6.575	0.148	389.060
Total	20.11	0.618	973.373

here $Y_i^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 X_{ij} \right)^2 = (3 \times \text{mean})^2$

$SS_{\text{Rate}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{X_i^2}{3} - \frac{Y^2}{12} = \frac{973.373}{3} - \frac{(20.11)^2}{12}$

$SS_{\text{Rate}} = 21.153$

$$SS_{RES} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2 \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^4 [2 \times \text{variance}]$$

$$= 2 \times 0.618$$

$$= \boxed{1.236}$$

ANOVA Table:

	Dof	SS	MSS
Rate	3	21.153	7.051
Residual	8	1.236	0.155
Total	11	22.389	

$$T.S. = \frac{MSS_{RES}}{MSS_{RES}} = 45.638$$

$$F_{3,8,1\%} = 7.951$$

$\therefore$ We reject H_0 . Means are not equal. (iii)

6.	X	5	9	2	3	3	11	6	5	4
	Y	73	120	34	46	35	24	26	93	45

$$n = 10$$

$$\Sigma x = 39 \quad \Sigma x^2 = 207$$

$$\Sigma y = 562 \quad \Sigma y^2 = 40508$$

$$\Sigma xy = 2853$$

(ii) Correlation coefficient =
$$(r) = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}}$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum xy - n \bar{x} \bar{y} = 661.2$$

$$S_{xx} = \sum x^2 - n \bar{x}^2 = 54.9$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - n \bar{y}^2 = 8923.6$$

$$r = \frac{661.2}{\sqrt{(54.9)(8923.6)}}$$

$$r = \frac{661.2}{\sqrt{(54.9)(8923.6)}}$$

$$= 0.9446623904$$

(iii) fitted linear regression eqn is of the form:

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{661.2}{54.9} = 12.044$$



$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha} &= \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x} \\ &= 56.2 - (12.044)(3.9) \\ &= 9.23\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{eqn. : } \hat{y} = 9.23 + (12.044)x$$

$$(iii) \quad SS_{TOT} = S_{yy} = 8923.6$$

$$SS_{REG} = \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} = \frac{(661.2)^2}{54.9}$$

$$= 7963.305$$

$$\begin{aligned}SS_{RES} &= SS_{TOT} - SS_{REG} \\ &= 960.295082\end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{Coefficient of determination} = R^2$$

$$= \frac{SS_{REG}}{SS_{TOT}} = 0.107613$$

$$= 10.76\%$$

→ model is not a good fit because coefficient of determination is low



$$7. \quad \Sigma x = 174.13 \quad \Sigma y = 197.84$$

$$\Sigma x^2 = 7545.90 \quad \Sigma y^2 = 4747.45$$

$$\Sigma (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y}) = 2176.84$$

(i) linear regression eqn: $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}$$

$$S_{xy} = \Sigma xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y} = 2176.7393$$

$$S_{xx} = \Sigma x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 = 4849.5042$$

$$\hat{\beta} = 0.4544$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \hat{y} - \hat{\beta}x$$

$$10.7896 - 0.4544 \times 10 = 10.7896$$

$$\hat{y}_i = 10.7896 + 0.4544x$$

(ii) $\frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{se(\hat{\beta})} \sim t_{n-2}$

$$H_0: \beta = 0$$

$$H_1: \beta > 0$$

$$TS = \frac{0.4544 - 0}{se(\hat{\beta})}$$

$$se(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{var \hat{\beta}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}}}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} \left[1189.029 - \frac{(2186.7353)^2}{4789.5642} \right]$$

$$= 122.22193561$$

$$se(\hat{\beta}) = 0.06807195$$

$$t_{obs} = \frac{0.45445}{0.06807195} \sim t_9$$

$$(t_{obs}) = 6.67528 \sim t_9$$

$$(p\text{-value}) = 0.0002$$

$\Rightarrow$ We reject H_0
 $\Rightarrow$ there is some positive relationship.

$$(ii') \quad r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}} = 0.91214$$



$$(iv) \hat{x}^3 = 25$$

ind response

$$\hat{y} = \hat{x} + \hat{\beta} u_0$$

$$\hat{y} = 22.1496$$

$$P = \frac{\hat{y}_0 - y_0}{sd(\hat{y}_0)} \quad \text{with } \rightarrow$$

$$sd(\hat{y}_0) = 14.959912$$

$$95\% \text{ CI} :$$

$$P(-2.262 < t < 2.262)$$

$$\left[\hat{y}_0 \pm 2.262 (sd \hat{y}_0) \right]$$

$$= (10.93027, 33.3689)$$

mean response

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = 22.1496$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{\mu}_0) = 2.402169$$

$$sd = 1.551505$$

$$95\% \text{ CI} : (18.64009, 25.6591)$$

(v) Errors are not iid random variables.

ϵ_i does not follow $N(0, \sigma^2)$

8.

9. $S_{xx} = 75$

$S_{xy} = 2482.4$

$S_{yy} = -286$

(i) negative correlation

(ii) $r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}} = -0.686001$

(iii)

$H_0: \rho = 0$

$H_1: \rho < 0$

$$TS = \frac{r = -0.686001}{\frac{\tan^{-1} r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$= -2.22339$$

$\Rightarrow$ we reject H_0 .

(iv) Response variable = marks
hours spent = explanatory variables

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_i$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x} = 82.16$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = -3.946666$$

eqn: $\hat{y}_i = 82.16 - (3.95)x$

(v) $R^2 = 47.05\%$

→ not a good fit

(vi)

$\mu_0 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y} &= \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_i \\ &= 82.16 - (3.95)(1) \\ &= \underline{\underline{78.21334}}\end{aligned}$$

10.

ANOVA Table:

DATE _____

PAGE _____

	df	SS	MSS
REG	1	0.00115238	0.00115238
RES	1	0.00011422	0.00011422
TOT	2	0.0012666	

$$SS_{TOT} = S_{yy} = 0.0012666$$

$$SS_{REG} = \frac{(0.02)^2}{0.0042} = 0.115238$$

$$SS_{RES} = 0.00011422$$

H_0 : no impact
 H_1 : impact

$$TS = \frac{SS_{REG}}{SS_{RES}/n-2} \sim F_{1,1}$$

$$10.089126 \sim F_{1,1}$$

$\Rightarrow$ we do not reject H_0 .