

SRM-3 Assignment -1

Athawa Padwal

DY

Q1.	AY	0	1	2	3
	2001	1245	999	610	356
	2002	1455	1088	723	
	2003	1567	1079		
	2004	1491			

Inflation index

~~2002~~ 2001~~2003~~ 2002~~2004~~ 2003

2004

$$(1.021) \times (1.012) \times (0.992) = 1.0249$$

$$1.012 \times 0.992 = 1.003904$$

$$0.992$$

$$1$$

Incremental claims mid 2004 prices

DY

AY	0	1	2	3
2001	1276.11	1002.9	6057.15	356
2002	1460.68	1079.30	723	
2003	1554.46	1079		
2004	1491			

Cumulative claims mid 2004 prices

AY/DY

0

1

2

3

2001 1276.11

2279.01

2894.13

3240.13

2002 1460.68

2539.98

3262.98

2003

1554.46

2633.46

2004

1491

	0	1	2	3
Total Claims	5782.25	7452.45	6147.11	3240.13
(Last claim)	(1491)	(2633.46)	(3262.98)	(6)
	4291.25	4818.99	2884.13	3240.13

Dj 1.7366 1.2756 1.12343

	Dj			
Aj	0	1	2	3
2001	1276.11	2279.01	2884.13	3240.13
2002	1460.68	2531.98	3262.98	3665.74
2003	1554.46	2633.46	3359.245	3773.89
2004	1491	2589.36	3302.99	3710.69

Incremental claims for the year mid 2004 prices

	Dj			
Aj	0	1	2	3
2001				
2002				
2003				
2004		1098.36	712.64	407.7
I		x	x	x
Inflation		1.025	1.050625	1.076891
Inflation adjusted		1125.819	748.72	439.05

Total = 2313.5875 (figure in thousands)

(ii) Ultimate amount for 2004 policies = 5250000×0.73
 $= 3937500$

Cumulative DF

Cumulative

DFs

—

$$2.48871 \quad 1.43304 \quad 1.12343$$

Reverse

1

$$1.12343$$

$$1.43304$$

$$2.48871$$

 $\frac{1}{6}$

1

$$0.89013$$

$$0.6978$$

$$0.4018$$

 $1 - \frac{1}{6}$

0

$$0.109837$$

$$0.3022$$

$$0.5982$$

$$0.5982 \times 3937500$$

$$\text{Total emerging liability} = \cancel{2355.4125} \quad 2355.4125$$

using BFM

(figure in '000)

For 2004 AY,

FY

DY

Emerging liability

Inflation

$$1 \quad 3937.5 \times \left(\frac{1}{1.43304} - \frac{1}{2.48871} \right) = 1165.51 \times 1.025 = 1194.65$$

2

$$2 \quad 3937.5 \times \left(\frac{1}{1.12343} - \frac{1}{1.43304} \right) = 757.24 \times 1.050625 = 795.57$$

$$3 \quad 3937.5 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{1.12343} \right) = 432.61 \times 1.076891 = 465.87$$

$$\text{Total emerging liability} = \underline{\underline{2456.09}} \quad (\text{figure in '000s})$$

D4

2. U/W AM	1	2	3
2005	3512	7210	9563
2006	2889	6723	
2007	3876		

Loss ratio = 87%

	Premium income	Total claims paid
2005	11041	20103000
2006	11314	
2007	12541	

$$\begin{aligned}
 q &= 2.1767 & 1.3264 & \text{€ } 1 \\
 \frac{1}{1} &= 2.8872 & 1.3264 & 1 \\
 1 - \frac{1}{1} &= 0.6536 & 0.24608 & 0
 \end{aligned}$$

AM	2007	2006	2005
EP	12541	11314	11041
IVL	10917.63	9843.18	7605.67
EL	7135.76	2422.21	0
RL	3876	6723	9563
UL	11011.76	9145.21	9563

Total UL = ~~24713~~ 29719.97 x 1000

Reserves = 9616970

Q4

~~no. of~~ cumulative no. of claims
D4

A4	0	1	2
2005	82	121	156
2006	128	163	
2007	98		

Total claim amts.

D4

A4	0	1	2
2005	43512	87210	129663
2006	62889	116723	
2007	73876		

ACPC table

D4

A4	0	1	2	UA
2005	530.634	720.744	830.532	→ 830.532
2005	(63.891%)	(86.781%)	(100%)	
2006	491.320	716.092	→ 825.171	
	(59.542%)	(86.781%)		
2007	753.836	→ 1221.459		
	(61.716%)			

Ultimate for claim nos.

	D4	1	2	Ult
A4	0			
2005	82	121	156 → 157	
	(52.56%)	(77.56%)	(100%)	
2006	128	163	→ 210.149	
	(60.90%)	(77.56%)		
2007	98		→ 172.7237	
	(56.738%)			

Project ultimate loss

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2005 = 129562.99 \\
 &\cancel{2006} = \cancel{1221.4595 \times 172.7237} \times 2 \\
 &2006 = 825.1714 \times 210.149 = 173408.9445 \\
 &2007 = 1221.4595 \times 172.7237 = 210975.0042
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{O/S claims} &= 129563 + 173408.9445 \\
 &\quad + 210975.0042
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= [73876 + 116723 + 129563]$$

$$= 193784.9384$$

Q5.

$X \sim \exp(\lambda)$, Show that $Y = kX$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y=y) &= P(kX < y) \\ &= P(X < y/k) \\ &= \int_0^{y/k} \lambda e^{-\lambda z} dz \\ &= \int_0^y \lambda e^{-\frac{\lambda}{k}x} \frac{dx}{k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^y \frac{\lambda}{k} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{k}x} dx$$

which is the distribution function of exp distn with parameter λ/k . So Y is exponentially distributed with parameter λ/k .

(ii) ~~First note~~ $P[\text{Loss in } 2006 \geq M] = e^{-\lambda M}$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= C \times e^{-4\lambda M} \times \prod_i (e^{-\lambda x_i}) \times e^{-\sigma \lambda M/k} \\ &\quad \times \prod_j \left(\frac{\lambda}{k} e^{-\lambda y_j/k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where x_i represents the claims in 2006 and y_j represents the claim in 2007.

$$\begin{aligned} \log L &= C' - 4\lambda M + 10 \log \lambda - \lambda \sum x_i - 6\lambda M/k \\ &\quad + 12 \log \lambda - \lambda/k \sum y_j \end{aligned}$$

$$= C' - 4\lambda M + 22 \log \lambda - 13500\lambda - 6 \frac{\lambda M}{k} - 17000 \frac{\lambda}{k}$$

Differentiating w.r.t

$$l' = -4M + \frac{22}{\lambda} - 13500 - \frac{6M}{k} - \frac{17000}{k}$$

$$-4M + \frac{22}{\lambda} - 13500 - \frac{6M}{k} - \frac{17000}{k} = 0$$

$$\frac{22}{\lambda} = 13500 + \frac{17000}{k} + 4M + \frac{6M}{k}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{22}{13500 + \frac{17000}{k} + 4M + \frac{6M}{k}}$$

$$l'' = \frac{-22}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

$$(iii) a) \lambda = \frac{22}{\frac{13500 + 17000}{1.1} + \frac{4 \times 1600 + 6 \times 1600}{1.1}}$$

$$= 0.000499$$

b) Expected payment per claim for 2006 =

$$\int_0^{\infty} u \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx + M \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

$$= \left[-x e^{-\lambda x} \right]_0^M + \int_0^M e^{-\lambda x} dx + M \left[e^{-\lambda x} \right]_M^{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda M})$$

$$= \frac{1}{0.000499} (1 - e^{-0.000499 \times 1400})$$

$$= 1102.107$$

$$\# 2008 \sim \exp \left(\eta = \frac{\lambda}{1.05 \times 1.1} \right)$$

$$1102.107 = \int_0^R x \eta e^{-\eta x} dx + R \int_R^{\infty} \eta e^{-\eta x} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} (1 - e^{-\eta R})$$

$$= \frac{1.05 \times 1.1}{0.000499} (1 - e^{-\frac{0.000499 \cdot R}{1.05 \times 1.1}})$$

$$\therefore R = 1496.52$$

6.

i) Heterogenous group

(ii)

$$a. E(\lambda_i) = 0.5 \times 0.1 + \frac{1}{3} \times 0.3 + \frac{1}{6} \times 0.9$$

$$= 0.3$$

$$E(\lambda_i^2) = 0.5 \times 0.1^2 + \frac{1}{3} \times 0.3^2 + \frac{1}{6} \times 0.9^2$$

$$= 0.17$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}(\lambda_i) = 0.08$$

$$b. E(S_i | \lambda_i) = [d, m_i] \quad \text{Var}[S_i | \lambda_i] = [d, m_i]$$

$$c. E[S_i] = E[E[S_i | \lambda_i]] = E[\lambda_i m_i]$$

$$= 0.3 m_i = 0.3 \times 250 = 75$$

d. For the whole portfolio, since the variables are independent or iid, the mean = 100×75

$$= 7500$$

$$\text{var} = 100^2 \times 23810$$

$$= 2381000$$

(iii) Now homogenous

$$\text{So } \text{var}(\lambda_i) = 0.5^*(0.2^2 + 0.4^2) - 0.3^2 = 0.01$$

$$E \left[\sum_{i=1}^N S_i \right] = n E[S_i] = 0.3 \times 100 \times 250 = 7500$$

$$\text{Var} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N S_i \right] = E \left[\text{Var} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N S_i / \lambda \right) \right] + \text{Var} \left[E \left(\sum_{i=1}^N S_i / \lambda \right) \right]$$

$$= E[n \lambda m_2] + \text{Var}[n \lambda m_1]$$

$$= 0.3 \times 100 \times 200 + 0.1 \times 100^2 \times 250^2$$

$$= 6251000$$

iv) The mean is the same, but variance is very high. This makes sense since parameter uncertainty is at the overall level ~~rather than~~ rather than at the individual level, so affects aggregate claim variance much more.

Q7.

Claim $\sim \text{Poi}(50)$ Claim amt $\approx 100x$

$$f(x) = \frac{3}{32} x(6x - x^2 - 5)$$

(i)

$$E[100x] = 100 E[x]$$

$$= 100 \times \frac{3}{32} \int_1^5 x \cdot (6x - x^2 - 5) \cdot dx$$

$$= 100 \times \frac{3}{32} \int_1^5 (6x^2 - x^3 - 5x) \cdot dx$$

$$= \frac{300}{32} \times \left[2x^3 - \frac{x^4}{4} - \frac{5x^2}{2} \right]_1^5$$

$$= \frac{30}{32} \times [31.25 + 0.75]$$

$$= 300$$

$$\text{Var}[100x] = E[(100x)^2] - (E[100x])^2$$

$$100^2 \times \frac{3}{32} \int_1^5 x^2 \cdot (6x - x^2 - 5) \cdot dx$$

$$= 98000$$

$$\text{Var}[100x] = 98000 - (300)^2$$

$$= 8000$$

8000

Q7. ~~$E(X) = 0$~~ $E(Y) = 0.3 \times 200 = 60$ (2) ~~...~~
contd. $Var(Y) = 0.3 \times 200^2 - (60)^2$
 $= 8400$ (2) ~~...~~

$$E[Z] = E[X] + E[Y]$$
$$= 300 + 60$$
$$= 360$$

$$Var(Z) = Var(X+Y)$$
$$= Var(X) + Var(Y)$$
$$= 8000 + 8400 = 16400$$

$$E[S] = E[N] \cdot E[Z]$$

$$Var[S] = E[N] \cdot Var[Z] + Var[N] \cdot E[Z]^2$$

$$E[S] = 18000$$

$$Var[S] = 50 \times 16400 + 50 \times 360^2$$
$$= 7300000$$