

$$1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum x^2 &= 92500 & \sum x &= 850 \\ \sum y^2 &= 385002 & \sum y &= 1764 \\ \sum xy &= 184720 \end{aligned}$$

$$a) \quad s_{xx} = \sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} = 92500 - \frac{(850)^2}{10}$$

$$s_{xx} = 20250$$

$$s_{xy} = \sum xy - \frac{\sum x \sum y}{n} = 184720 - \frac{(850 \times 1764)}{10}$$

$$s_{xy} = 34780$$

$$s_{yy} = \sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n} = 385002 - \frac{(1764)^2}{10}$$

$$s_{yy} = 73832.4$$

$$\beta = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = \frac{34780}{20250} = 1.7175$$

$$\alpha = \bar{y} - \beta \bar{x} = 176.4 - (1.7175)(85) \\ = 30.40995$$

$\therefore$ the regression line of y on x is
 $y = \alpha + \beta x = 30.40995 + 1.7175 x.$

6) The gradient of regression line β is 1.717 which is positive. $\therefore x$ and y have positive linear relationship with each other

2) $\sum x = 385.2$
 $\sum x^2 = 12666.58$

$\sum y = 1162.5$

$\sum y^2 = 119026.7$

$\sum xy = 38191.41$

i) $s_{xx} = \sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} = 301.66$

$s_{xy} = \sum xy - \frac{(\sum x)(\sum y)}{n} = ~~38191.41~~ 875.16$

$s_{yy} = \sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n} = 6409.7125$

$B = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = \frac{875.16}{301.66} = 2.90115$

$\alpha = \bar{y} - B\bar{x} = \frac{1162.5}{12} - 2.90115 \left(\frac{382.5}{12} \right)$

$= 96.875 - 2.90115 (32.1)$

$\alpha = 3.748085$

$\therefore$ Least squares fit regression line.

$y = \alpha + Bx$

$y = 3.7481 + (2.90115)x$

ii) 95% C.I for $B = \hat{\beta} \pm t_{n-2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{s_{xx}}}$

$\therefore$ 95% C.I for $B = 2.90115 \pm t_{10} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{s_{xx}}}$

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(s_{yy} - \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_{xx}} \right)$

$= \frac{1}{10} \left(6409.7125 - \frac{(875.16)^2}{301.66} \right)$

$= 387.0745$

95% C.I for $B \in \left(2.90115 \pm 2.228 \sqrt{\frac{387.0745}{301.66}} \right)$

95% C.I for $B \in (2.90115 \pm 2.5238)$

95% C.I for $B \in (0.37735, 5.42494)$

Date: YOUVA

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii) } \text{var}(\hat{y}) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum x^2} \right) \sigma^2 \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{(40 - 32.1)^2}{301.66} \right) 387.0745 \\
 &= 112.3375
 \end{aligned}$$

CI for $y_i \in (\hat{y}_i \pm t_{10} \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{y})})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{y}_1 &= (3.748085 \pm 2.228 \sqrt{112.3375}) \\
 &= (3.748085 \pm 23.6144) \\
 &= (-19.866, 27.3626)
 \end{aligned}$$

3.

- i) on basis of the plot, first ~~two~~ ^{two} are +vely skewed, third is symmetric; fourth is -vely skewed
- ii) assumption of ANOVA:-
- 1) data is normally distributed
 - 2) Homogeneity of variance
 - 3) observations are independent of each other

$$\text{iii) } SS_{\text{reg}} = \frac{S_{xy}^2}{\sum x^2} = \frac{875.16^2}{301.66} = 2538.967$$

$$SS_{\text{total}} = S_{yy} = 6409.7125$$

$$SS_{\text{res}} = SS_{\text{total}} - SS_{\text{reg}} = 3870.744.$$

Under H_0 ,

$$\frac{SS_{\text{reg}}/1}{SS_{\text{res}}/n-2} \sim F_{1, n-2}$$

$$TSV = \frac{2538.967}{3870.744/10} = 6.55938$$

$$F_{1, 10} = 4.965$$

$F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{tab}} \therefore H_0$ is rejected.

$$7. \sum x = 1784.13$$

$$\sum y = 197.84$$

$$\sum x^2 = 7545.9$$

$$\sum y^2 = 4747.45$$

$$\sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y}) = 2176.84$$

$$\bar{x} = 15.83$$

$$\bar{y} = 17.985$$

$$(i) s_{xx} = \sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 = \sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}$$

$$= 4789.4221$$

$$s_{xy} = \sum (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y}) = 2176.84$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} = \frac{2176.84}{4789.42} = 0.4545$$

$$\bar{x} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = \frac{\sum y}{n} - \hat{\beta} \frac{\sum x}{n}$$

$$= 17.985 - (0.4545 \times 15.83)$$

$$= 10.79$$

∴ The fitted regression equation is

$$\hat{y} = 10.79 + (0.4545)x$$

$$(ii) s_{yy} = \sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n} = 1189.21$$

$$s_{xx} = 4789.4221$$

$$s_{xy} = 2176.84$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} (s_{yy} - \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_{xx}}) = \frac{1}{9} (1189.21 - \frac{(2176.84)^2}{4789.42})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 22.20$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{s_{xx}}} = \sqrt{\frac{22.20}{4789.42}} = 0.0681$$

$$H_0: \beta = 0$$

$$H_1: \beta \neq 0$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 / s_{xx}}} = \frac{0.4545 - 0}{0.0681} = 6.674$$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 / s_{xx}}} \sim t_{n-2, \alpha/2}$$

$$\therefore t_{9, 0.025} = 2.68$$

$\therefore$ ~~test~~ critical value at 95% LOS is less than test statistic

$\therefore$ we can reject null hypothesis.

$\therefore$ No significant relationship b/w x & y

iii) Pearson's correlation coefficient

$$r = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx} S_{yy}}}$$

$$r = \frac{2176.84}{\sqrt{(4789.42)(1189.21)}} = 0.91$$

iv) $x^3 = 25, \quad y = 10.79 + (0.4545)(25)$
 $= 22.15$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = 22.20$$

$\therefore$ Variance of mean response estimator

$$\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right] \hat{\sigma}^2 = \left[\frac{1}{11} + \frac{84.0889}{4789.42} \right] (22.20)$$

$$= 2.41$$

Variance of individual response estimator

$$\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right] \hat{\sigma}^2 = \left[1 + \frac{1}{11} + \frac{84.0889}{4789.42} \right] (22.2)$$

$$= 24.61$$

using t₉ distribution, the 95% C.I. for mean and individual responses are

$$22.20 \pm 2.262 \sqrt{2.41} = (18.7, 25.7)$$

$$22.20 \pm 2.262 \sqrt{24.61} = (11.0, 33.4)$$

v) The residual plot shows a definite pattern
 Model is inappropriate
 Model leads to underestimation of premium rates

8) Factor analysis is a powerful data reduction technique that enables researchers to investigate concepts that can't easily be measured.

	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5
	0.456	0.137	0.08	0.0165	0.012
%	<u>0.456</u>	<u>0.137</u>	<u>0.08</u>	<u>0.0165</u>	<u>0.012</u>
	0.7015	0.7015	0.7015	0.7015	0.7015
% =	0.65	0.195	0.114	0.0235	0.017
Cumulative	0.65	0.845	0.959	0.9825	0.9995
till component	4	PC4 should be retained.			

93) i) The scatterplot suggests an inverse relation between marks obtained and hours spent on social media per day.

$$ii) s_{xx} = 277.5 - \frac{(45)^2}{10} = 75$$

$$s_{yy} = 43956 - \frac{(644)^2}{10} = 2482.4$$

$$s_{xy} = 2602 - \frac{(644)(45)}{10} = -296$$

$$r = \frac{s_{xy}}{\sqrt{s_{xx} s_{yy}}} = \frac{-296}{\sqrt{75 \times 2482.4}} = -0.686$$

-69% correlation coefficient also implies a moderate negative linear relation between the two variables as visible from the scatterplot.

iii) Null hypothesis $H_0: \rho = 0$ against $H_1: \rho \leq 0$
Need to assume that data come from a bivariate normal distribution.

$$r = 0.5 \ln \left(\frac{1 - 0.686}{1 + 0.686} \right) = -0.8404$$

$$N(0, 1/7)$$

$$\text{Fisher's standardized statistic} = \frac{(-0.8404 - 0)}{\sqrt{1/7}} = -2.22$$

$$\text{This gives the p-value} = P(Z < -2.22) = 0.013$$

which is quite small and hence shows that we can reject null hypothesis at 95% CI. $\therefore$ Marks and hours spent on social media are very correlated.

- 10) H_0 : There is no difference among industries
 H_1 : Atleast one industry differs significantly from overall mean

$$SSR = 19[5^2 + 10^2 + 8^2] = 3591$$

$$\bar{X}_{\text{resignation}} = \frac{127 + 36 + 30}{3} = 31$$

$$SSB = 20[(27-31)^2 + (36-31)^2 + (30-31)^2] = 840$$

$$F_{2,57} = \frac{(840/2)}{(3591/57)} = 6.667$$

$$\cancel{F_{2,57}} \Rightarrow F_{2,60} \text{ at } 1\% = 4.977$$

$$F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{tab}}$$

$\therefore$ we can reject H_0

$\therefore$ resignation rate is impacted by industry.