

Probability & Statistics II

Assignment - 2

1)

	x	y	xy	x^2
1	40	56	2,240	1600
2	10	62	620	100
3	100	195	19,500	10,000
4	110	240	26,400	12,100
5	120	170	20,400	14,400
6	150	270	40,500	22,500
7	20	48	960	400
8	30	196	5,760	8,100
9	80	214	17,120	6,400
10	130	286	37,180	16,900
Total	850	1,737	182,560	92,500

(a)

$$S_{xy} = \sum x_i y_i - \frac{\sum x_i \sum y_i}{n} = 182560 - \frac{(850)(1737)}{10} = 34915$$

$$S_{xx} = \sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n} = 92500 - \frac{850^2}{10} = 20250$$

$$\hat{b} = S_{xy} / S_{xx} = 1.72$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{y} - b\bar{x} = (1737/10) - 1.72(850/10) = 27.14$$

$$y = 27.14 + 1.72x$$

(b)

Gradient represents the amount of hours per rupee spent.

$$2) \text{ i) } \sum x = 3852, \sum y = 1162.5, \sum xy = 38191.41$$

$$n = 12, \sum x^2 = 12666.58, \sum y^2 = 119026.9$$

$$S_{xx} = \sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 = 12666.58 - 12(3852/n)^2$$

$$= 301.66$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2 = 119026.90 - 12(1162.5/12)^2$$

$$= 6409.71$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y} = 38191.41 - 12(385.2/12)(1162.5/12)$$

$$= 875.16$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = (1162.5/12) - 2.90(385.2/12) = 3.78$$

$$y = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x = 3.78 + 2.90x$$

$$\text{ii) } 95\% \text{ C.I. for } \beta \pm t_{n-2}(2.50\%) \text{ S.E.}(\hat{\beta})$$

$$\text{S.E.}(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{S_{xx}}}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} \right] = 387.07$$

$$\therefore \text{S.E.}(\hat{\beta}) = \sqrt{\frac{387.07}{301.66}} = 1.13$$

$$\therefore 95\% \text{ C.I. for } \beta : 2.90 \pm 2.228(1.13)$$

$$= (0.38, 5.44)$$

$$\text{iii) } \hat{y}_{x_0} \pm t_{n-2}(2.5\%) \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}} \right)}$$

$$x_0 = 40 \text{ (Dell share price in U.S.)}$$

$$\hat{y}_{x_0} = 3.78 + 2.90(40) = 119.78$$

$$\therefore 95 \text{ C.I.} = 119.78 \pm 2.228 \sqrt{387.07 \left[\frac{1}{12} + \frac{(40 - 32.1)^2}{301.66} \right]}$$

$$= (96.17, 143.89)$$

3) i) The centers of the distribution differs for all the four cities
The difference between the mean time taken to commute to office in peak hours and non-peak hours are in the order city A to city D (highest to lowest)

- ii) • The population must be normal.
• The observations are independent
• The populations have a common variance.

iii) H_0 : The mean of differences is greater for each city v/s

H_1 : The mean of differences are not the same for all cities.

$$SS_T = 1495 - \frac{103^2}{28} = 1116.11$$

$$SS_B = \frac{1}{7} (64^2 + 44^2 + 8^2 + (-13)^2) - \frac{103^2}{28} = 516.11$$

ANOVA Table

Source	df	SS	MS	F
Treatments	3	516.11	172.04	6.88
Residual	24	600	25	
Total	27	1116.11		

iv) Under H_0 , $F = 172.04 / 25.00 = 6.88$ ($\because F_{3,24}$)

The 5% critical point to reject is 3.009, so we have sufficient evidence to reject H_0 at the 5% level. Therefore it is reasonable to conclude that there are underlying differences between cities.

5) (i) The following assumptions are required for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA):

- The populations must be normal
- The populations have a common variance
- The observations are independent.

(ii) For the transformation $x \rightarrow \sqrt{x}$, the sample mean for rate 1 will be:-

$$\frac{1}{3} (\sqrt{29} + \sqrt{13} + \sqrt{21}) = 4.52$$

For the transformation $x \rightarrow \log x$, the value of sample variance for value rate 2 will be:-

$$\frac{1}{2} [(\log_e 180 - 4.827)^2 + (\log_e 90 - 4.827)^2 + (\log_e 120 - 4.827)^2] \\ = 0.1213$$

(iii) The scientist was correct in asserting that the loge transformation must be done before carrying out a one-way ANOVA as for this transformation it can be claimed that the assumption of common variance for the underlying population holds.

(iv) We will perform an ANOVA on loge x data. We would assume the following model:-

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + e_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ j = 1, 2, 3$$

Here :-

Y_{ij} is loge transformed value of the j^{th} observation of the no. of germinators per

source foot observed when the i^{th} rate was applied

- μ is the overall population mean.
- τ_i is the deviation of the i^{th} rate mean such that $\sum \tau_i = 0$
- e_{ij} are the independent error terms which follow Normal distribution with mean 0 and common unknown variance σ^2

For ANOVA, the null hypothesis being tested here is:-
 $H_0: \tau_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ against $H_1: \tau_i \neq 0$ for at least one i

Sum of squares table: (log_e(x))

Rate	Mean	Variance	y_i^2
1	2.992	0.163	89.582
2	4.824	0.121	209.678
3	5.719	0.186	294.053
4	6.575	0.148	389.060
	20.110	0.618	973.373

$$\text{Here: } y_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 y_{ij} \right)^2 = (3 \times \text{mean}_i)^2$$

Now:-

$$SS(\text{Rate}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^2 = \frac{y^2}{1^2} = \frac{973.373}{3} - \frac{(3 \times 20.110)^2}{1^2}$$

$$= 21.153$$

$$SS(\text{Residuals}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^4 \{ 2 \times \text{var}_i \} = 2 \times 0.618 \times 1.236$$

The ANOVA table is as follows

Source of variation	d.f	Sum of Squares	Mean squares	F
Rates	3	21.153	7.051	45.638
Residuals	8	1.236	0.155	
Totals	11	22.381		

The 1% critical value for $F(3,8)$ distribution is 7.951.

Considering the F statistic value is much larger than this, we can observe that the p-value for this, we can observe that the test is almost near to zero. This is an evidence against the null hypothesis H_0 . Thus it can be concluded that the underlying means are not equal.

(v) A 95% confidence interval for the i^{th} rate mean is given by:

$$\bar{y}_i \pm t_{8;0.975} \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

Here: $t_{8;0.975}$ is the 97.5% critical point of a t-distribution with 8 degrees of freedom and equals 2.306.

The common error variance σ^2 is estimated as

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\text{Residuals sum of squares}}{8} = 0.155$$

The 95% confidence intervals are tabulated in the following table.

Rate	Observed mean	95% C.I.		95% C.I.	
		(Transformed scale)		(original scale)	
		LCI	UCI	LCI	UCI
1	2.992	2.469	3.516	11.80	33.635
2	4.827	4.303	5.350	73.954	240.623
3	5.716	5.193	6.234	179.950	512.505
4	6.575	6.052	7.098	425.770	1209.76

$$6) \textcircled{i} S_{xx} = \sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 = 207 - 10(39/10)^2 = 54.90$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y} = 2853 - 10(39/10)(563/10) = 661.20$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - n\bar{y}^2 = 40508 - 10(562/10)^2 = 8923.66$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Correlation coefficient } r &= \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}} \sqrt{S_{yy}}} \\ &= \frac{661.20}{\sqrt{54.90} \sqrt{8923.66}} = 0.945 \end{aligned}$$

$\textcircled{ii}$ Fitted linear regression equation

The coefficients of the regression eqⁿ are:-

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{661.20}{54.90} = 12.04$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x} = (562/10) - 12.04(39/10) = 9.23$$

$$\therefore y = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x = 9.23 + 12.04x$$

$\textcircled{iii}$ Relation : $SS_{TOT} = SS_{REG} + SS_{RES}$

$$SS_{TOT} = S_{yy} = 8923.60$$

$$\begin{aligned} SS_{RES} &= S_{yy} - \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}} = 8923.60 - \frac{(661.20)^2}{54.90} \\ &= 960.30 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} SS_{REG} &= S_{TOT} - S_{RES} = 8923.60 - 960.30 \\ &= 7963.30 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Coefficient of Determination:-

$$R^2 = \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_{xx}S_{yy}} = \frac{SS_{\text{reg}}}{SS_{\text{TOT}}} = \frac{7963.30}{8923.60} = 0.8924$$

For the simple linear regression model, the value of the coefficient of determination is the square of the correlation coefficient for the data, since,

$$0.945 = r = \frac{S_{xy}}{(S_{xx} \cdot S_{yy})^{0.5}} = \sqrt{R^2} = \sqrt{0.8924}$$

8> (i) Factor analysis / Principal component analysis is. A method for reducing the dimensionality of data. It seeks to identify key components necessary to model and understand data original data variables may be.

- Correlated with each other while newly identified principles components are chosen to be
- uncorrelated
- linear combinations of the original variables of the data
- which maximise the variance

(ii) Principal component	Diagonal entry (PC_i)	$PC_i / (\text{Sum}(PC_i))$ over 1 to 5)
PC_1	0.456	65.0%
PC_2	0.137	19.5%
PC_3	0.08	11.6%
PC_4	0.0165	2.4%
PC_5	0.012	1.7%
	0.7015	100.0%

(iii) As 1st 3 principal components explain over 95% of total variance, dimensionality can be reduced to 3 for this dataset. The 1st 3 principal components can then be used for building further classification or regression modeling purpose.